```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2
                       BROWNSVILLE DIVISION
3
   STATE OF TEXAS
   VS.
                                  ) CIVIL ACTION NO.
5
                                  ) 1:18-CV-68
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
6
   ET AL.
7
8
9
                      TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE
               BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANDREW S. HANEN
                           MAY 15, 2018
10
11
12
                      APPEARANCES
13
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF STATES:
14
        MR. TODD LAWRENCE DISHER
        OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
15
        OF TEXAS
        209 W. 14th Street
16
        8th Floor
17
        Austin, Texas 78701
        Phone: 512.936.2266
18
        MR. BRANTLEY STARR
19
        OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
        OF TEXAS
20
        209 W. 14th Street
        Austin, Texas 78701
21
        Phone: 512.936.8160
22
        MR. ADAM ARTHUR BIGGS
        OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
23
        300 W. 15th Street
        Austin, Texas 78701
24
        Phone: 512.463.2100
25
```

```
1
    FOR THE UNITED STATES:
2
        MR. JEFFERY S. ROBBINS
        U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
3
        PO Box 868
        Washington, DC 20044
        Phone: 202.616.1246
4
5
        MR. BRETT A. SHUMATE
6
        United States Department of
        Justice
7
         950 Pennsylvania Avenue
        Washington, DC 20530
8
        Phone: 202.514.2331
9
        MR. DANIEL DAVID HU
10
        OFFICE OF THE US ATTORNEYS
        OFFICE
11
         1000 Louisiana
        Suite 2300
12
        Houston, Texas 77002
        Phone: 713.567.9518
13
    FOR THE INTERVENORS:
14
        MS. NINA PERALES
15
        CELINA YSELA MORENO
        MALDEF
16
        110 Broadway
        Suite 300
        San Antonio, Texas 78205
17
        Phone: 210.224.5476
18
19
        MR. JOHN PAUL SALMON
        MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE
20
        AND EDUCATIONAL FUND
        110 Broadway
21
        Suite 300
        San Antonio, Texas 78205
22
        Phone: 469.226.8741
23
24
25
```

```
10:33:09
        1
                         THE COURT: This is Judge Hanen. We're on
            the record in B-18-CV-68, State of Texas et al. versus
10:33:10
        2
            United States et al.
10:33:14
        3
                         Who do I have leading the way for the
10:33:17
        4
            states, the Plaintiffs?
10:33:22
        5
        6
                         MR. DISHER:
                                       Good morning, Your Honor, this
10:33:25
        7
            is Todd Disher with the Texas Attorney General's Office
10:33:26
            for the Plaintiff states and with me I have
        8
10:33:28
            Brantley Starr and then on the line as well I have
10:33:31
        9
10:33:34
       10
            Adam Biggs.
                         THE COURT: All right. And for the
10:33:34
       11
            United States, who's on the line?
10:33:35
       12
10:33:38
       13
                         MR. ROBBINS: Good morning, Your Honor, this
            is Jeffrey Robbins from the Department of Justice Office
10:33:39
       14
       15
            Immigration Litigation and I have with me Brett Shumate
10:33:44
            and on the line is Mr. Daniel Hu from the
10:33:46
       16
            Southern District of Texas.
10:33:48
       17
                         THE COURT: All right. And then I have --
       18
10:33:49
10:33:51
       19
            even though I have not granted the motion to intervene,
10:33:55
       20
            do I have someone representing the putative Intervenors?
       21
                         MS. PERALES: Yes, Your Honor. Good
10:34:01
       22
            morning. This is Nina Perales for the proposed
10:34:02
       23
            Defendant Intervenors Carlos Perez et al. and with me on
10:34:06
10:34:10
       24
            the line are Mr. Jack Salmon and Ms. Celina Moreno.
10:34:17
       25
                         THE COURT: Okay. All right, counsel, I
```

```
10:34:18
        1
            just briefly wanted to get us together to do just a
            couple housekeeping matters, which is one of the reasons
10:34:20
        2
            I just said let's do this on -- by the phone. And
10:34:23
        3
10:34:27
            the -- the most important thing is does anybody oppose
        4
            the intervention?
10:34:30
        5
                         MR. DISHER: Your Honor, this is Todd Disher
10:34:33
        6
            for the State of Texas and the Plaintiff states, we do
        7
10:34:36
            not oppose the proposed intervention by the 22
10:34:38
        8
            individual DACA recipients.
10:34:42
        9
                         THE COURT: All right. Mr. Robbins, what
10:34:44
       10
            about the folks, the -- the -- from the United States?
10:34:46
       11
10:34:48
       12
                         MR. ROBBINS: We do not oppose, Your Honor.
10:34:50
       13
                         THE COURT: All right. Ms. Perales, your
            people are in.
10:34:51
       14
10:34:53
       15
                         MS. PERALES: Thank you, Your Honor.
                         THE COURT: All right. Now, what I want to
10:34:54
       16
            do and just for purposes, the only other pending thing
10:34:59
       17
            other than the actual request for an injunction is
       18
10:35:03
10:35:09
       19
            Mr. Shumate for the Government has asked to appear
10:35:11
       20
            pro hac vice and I'm granting that as well.
                         What I'd like y'all to do so that we have
10:35:16
       21
       22
            kind of a three-sided case and I didn't -- I could have
10:35:18
       23
            done this, I guess, by -- by an order but it just --
10:35:21
10:35:25
       24
            since Ms. Perales' folks weren't officially in yet, I --
            that's why I did it by phone, I would like the three of
10:35:29
       25
```

```
of y'all, the three sides, to get together and
10:35:33
        1
            propose -- come up with an agreed upon schedule. And,
10:35:39
        2
            obviously, if you can't agree, that's fine and I'll
10:35:42
        3
            resolve it. But I want to have a hearing at 11:00 on
10:35:45
        4
            May 30th. And -- and I would like -- if you can agree,
10:35:52
            I'd like the schedule to me by May 25th. And if you
10:35:57
        6
            can't agree, then I would like each of the three sides,
10:36:04
        7
        8
            so to speak, to give me your proposal by May 25th.
10:36:09
                         And -- and that way, on the 30th, we can
10:36:15
        9
            resolve that. And, so, on the 30th, I -- I'm expecting
10:36:18
       10
            whoever attends for the whatever side they're
10:36:23
       11
            representing needs to have full authority to commit.
10:36:27
       12
            You know, so don't -- don't -- don't show up and say,
10:36:34
       13
            well, I have to call back home and find out if I can do
10:36:36
       14
10:36:38
       15
            this or not. So whoever comes, I'm going to assume they
            have full authority.
10:36:42
       16
                         MR. ROBBINS: Your Honor, this is --
10:36:45
       17
                         THE COURT: Go ahead.
       18
10:36:46
                         MR. ROBBINS: -- Jeffrey Robbins for
10:36:47
       19
10:36:48
       20
            Defendant. Do you contemplate that being telephonic or
            in person?
10:36:51
       21
10:36:52
       22
                         THE COURT: No, in person here on the 30th.
10:36:56
       23
            Now, if there -- somebody has a -- I -- I did that -- I
10:36:58
       24
            purposefully didn't put it on 29th because I was -- I --
            I knew, Mr. Robbins, your folks would have to travel and
10:37:02
       25
```

```
so I didn't want to spoil your Memorial Day weekend.
10:37:06
        1
                                                                     So
            I'm spoiling the day after Memorial Day for you instead
10:37:11
        2
            of that. But if -- so let's plan on 11:00 a.m. down
10:37:16
        3
            here and we'll get a schedule in place. And then I'll
10:37:22
        4
            take up any other action that we -- that needs to be
10:37:32
            resolved on that day.
10:37:36
        6
        7
                         But I assume we -- you know, we're going to
10:37:38
            have a lot of briefing and, if it's like the prior case
10:37:44
        8
            we had, we'll have a whole bunch of amicus briefs and
10:37:47
        9
10:37:51
       10
            things like that nature.
                         MS. PERALES: Thank you, Your Honor.
10:37:54
       11
10:37:56
       12
                         MR. DISHER: Your Honor -- Your Honor, this
10:37:57
       13
            is Todd Disher. One question: In terms of the proposed
            schedule, are you contemplating a proposed schedule on
10:38:00
       14
       15
            the briefings of the PI or for the entire litigation
10:38:04
            going forward?
10:38:07
       16
                         THE COURT: Well, I -- I was thinking just
10:38:08
       17
            in terms of the preliminary injunction because I -- I
       18
10:38:10
10:38:13
       19
            figured that was the hot button issue. And then once
10:38:16
       20
            that was resolved one way or the other, then we could
            talk about the rest of the case. So I'm -- I'm -- I'm
10:38:19
       21
       22
            thinking, you know, what are we going to do over the
10:38:23
       23
            next two to three months.
10:38:25
10:38:29
       24
                         MR. DISHER: Okay. Understood. Thank you.
10:38:32
       25
                         MR. ROBBINS: Your Honor, this is
```

```
Jeffrey Robbins for Defendants. One additional
10:38:33
        1
            question: Does -- would -- would the Court consider
10:38:35
        2
            holding this hearing in -- in Houston; is that a
10:38:37
        3
            possibility?
10:38:41
        4
        5
                         THE COURT: Yeah, I --
10:38:45
                         MR. ROBBINS:
                                        We haven't had a chance to
10:38:51
        6
        7
            confer with the other parties, obviously, but --
10:38:53
        8
                         THE COURT: Ms. Perales, what's your view on
10:38:56
            that?
10:38:59
        9
                         MS. PERALES: Your Honor, we're agnostic.
10:38:59
       10
            We can -- we can appear at the Court's convenience and
10:39:04
       11
            wherever the Court finds it most convenient.
10:39:09
       12
10:39:13
       13
                         THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Disher, do you care
            one way or the other?
10:39:22
       14
10:39:23
       15
                         MR. DISHER: No, Your Honor. We're -- we're
            happy to come to Brownsville or Houston, either way is
10:39:25
       16
            fine with us.
10:39:28
       17
                         THE COURT: Well, I understand, you know,
       18
10:39:28
10:39:30
       19
            Houston --
10:39:34
       20
                         MS. PERALES: Your Honor, may I ask a
            question? This is Nina Perales.
10:39:35
       2.1
       22
                         THE COURT: You may.
10:39:37
       23
                         MS. PERALES: It -- it sounds like the Court
10:39:38
10:39:40
       24
            is contemplating entry of the scheduling order for
       25
            briefing on the motion for preliminary injunction.
10:39:43
                                                                     Wе
```

```
10:39:47
        1
            have computed that currently the response to the motion
            for preliminary injunction is May 23rd and -- and that
10:39:52
        2
            would be after the submission on scheduling would be due
10:39:56
        3
            on May 25th. I mean, before, I'm sorry, I'm sorry,
10:40:01
        4
            before. So I was just wondering if the Court is
10:40:05
        5
            contemplating setting a schedule for briefing on the PI
10:40:08
        6
            motion that is -- is different from what we're looking
        7
10:40:13
10:40:17
        8
            at currently?
                         THE COURT: Yes, I am contemplating that.
10:40:18
        9
            Because I figured y'all would need more time.
10:40:20
       10
       11
                         MS. PERALES: Thank you, Your Honor.
10:40:23
                         MR. ROBBINS: Your Honor, if I may?
10:40:34
       12
                                                                This is
            Jeffrey Robbins for Defendants again.
10:40:35
       13
       14
                         THE COURT: Go ahead.
10:40:36
10:40:37
       15
                         MR. ROBBINS:
                                        The Defendants would note
            that we might even be prepared to -- to file our
10:40:40
       16
            opposition by the end of this week and, so, while we
10:40:42
       17
            appreciate the Court's allowing the parties an
       18
10:40:45
            opportunity to talk about the scheduling, at least from
10:40:47
       19
10:40:51
       20
            Defendant's perspective, we are prepared to have that
       21
            and confer with regards to scheduling and -- and
10:40:56
10:40:58
       22
            possibly even file following that confer even sooner
10:41:02
       23
            than -- than the Court is contemplating.
10:41:04
       24
                         THE COURT: Okay. Here's what I'm going to
       25
                I'm going to hold the hearing on the 30th in
10:41:06
            do.
```

```
Brownsville. And I -- I apologize to make -- for the --
10:41:08
        1
            you folks that have to travel but -- but it's just a --
10:41:12
        2
            my schedule is such that I need to be here.
10:41:16
        3
                         In future hearings, if it's more convenient,
10:41:20
        4
            I'll consider Houston. But let's -- let's plan on then
10:41:24
        5
            11:00 on the -- on the 30th.
10:41:32
        6
        7
                         And then, Ms. Perales, I'll sign an order
10:41:38
            admitting your people today.
10:41:41
        8
                         MS. PERALES: Thank you, Your Honor.
10:41:44
        9
                         THE COURT: All right. Thank y'all.
10:41:46
       10
                         MR. ROBBINS: Thank you, Your Honor.
10:41:51
       11
                         MR. DISHER: Thank you, Your Honor.
10:41:53
       12
                         MS. PERALES: Thank you, Your Honor.
10:41:53
       13
       14
       15
                               REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
       16
               I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript
       17
            from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled
       18
            matter.
       19
       20
       21
                                   isi Sheila E. Perales
       22
                                          E. PERALES, CSR RPR CRR
       23
                                   Exp. Date: Dec. 31, 2018
       24
       25
```